

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-00272

MAXWELL KADEL; JASON FLECK;
CONNOR THONEN-FLECK, by his
next friends and parents, JASON FLECK
and ALEXIS THONEN; JULIA
MCKEOWN; MICHAEL D. BUNTING,
JR.; C.B., by his next friends and parents,
MICHAEL D. BUNTING, JR. and
SHELLEY K. BUNTING; and SAM
SILVAINE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DALE FOLWELL, in his official
capacity as State Treasurer of North
Carolina; DEE JONES, in her official
capacity as Executive Administrator of
the North Carolina State Health Plan for
Teachers and State Employees;
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
AT CHAPEL HILL; NORTH
CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY;
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
AT GREENSBORO; and NORTH
CAROLINA STATE HEALTH PLAN
FOR TEACHERS AND STATE
EMPLOYEES,

Defendants.

**ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS DALE
FOLWELL, STATE TREASURER OF NORTH CAROLINA, AND DEE JONES,
EXECUTIVE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE
HEALTH PLAN FOR TEACHERS AND STATE EMPLOYEES**

Defendants Dale Folwell and Dee Jones respond to the Complaint as follows¹:

1. Defendants admit that Plaintiffs are, or have been, members in the North Carolina State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees (“State Health Plan” or “Plan”). The Defendants admit that the State Health Plan is a health benefits program that is self-funded by the State of North Carolina and premiums are paid by Plan subscribers. The Defendants admit that eligible employees and retirees are offered the opportunity to enroll in the Plan through their employing unit or retiree Plan. Generally, these employers contribute to the Plan in addition to the employee premiums. The Defendants admit that the Plaintiffs who have enrolled in the Plan were offered the opportunity to do so by University Defendants. The Defendants deny that the Plan’s payments for medical treatment are compensation for employment. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

2. Denied. In this paragraph, and throughout the Complaint, Plaintiffs use the term “medically necessary” without further definition. This phrase could either be a legal term, as when used in the Medicaid Program, or a factual allegation. To the extent that “medically necessary” reflects a conclusion of law, the Defendants neither admit nor deny. To the extent that the term alleges facts, the Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

3. Denied. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the Plaintiffs’ allegations about their own behavior or experience. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

¹ Defendant, North Carolina State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees, filed a Notice of Appeal on April 9, 2020, and is not filing an answer at this time.

4. The Defendants admit the first sentence. The Defendants admit the second sentence accurately quotes the Plan's mission statement but deny all other allegations.

5. Denied.

6. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny allegations about the Plaintiffs' intentions. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

7-11. The Defendants admit, upon information and belief, that Plaintiffs Kadel, Fleck, Thonen, and McKeown are employed by University Defendants, Plaintiff Bunting is a retired university employee, and Plaintiff Silvaine is a former university employee. The Defendants admit, upon information and belief, that the Plaintiffs' residences are as described and that the familial relations, where alleged, are accurate. The Defendants admit, upon information and belief, that Plaintiffs' ages are correct as of the time the Complaint was filed. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny whether Plaintiffs are "transgender" as this term is undefined in the Complaint. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

12. The Defendants admit the first sentence. The second, third, fourth sentences describe the legal authority of the State Treasurer and are neither admitted nor denied. The fifth sentence is denied. The sixth sentence is a conclusion of law and is neither admitted nor denied. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

13. The Defendants admit the first sentence. The second and third sentences are conclusions of law and are neither admitted nor denied. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

14. Admit, upon information and belief.

15. Admit, upon information and belief.

16. Admit, upon information and belief.

17. The Defendants admit the State Health Plan is an agency of the State of North Carolina and, with its contractors and subcontractors, operates a health benefits program. The remaining allegations are conclusions of law and are neither admitted nor denied.

18. The Defendants deny the first two sentences. The Defendants admit the third sentence describes the scope of relief sought by Plaintiffs but deny the Plaintiffs are entitled to such relief.

19-22. The Defendants deny but acknowledge that the Plaintiffs allege these jurisdictional facts as the basis for their cause of action against Defendants. To the extent these paragraphs state conclusions of law, they are neither admitted nor denied.

23. The Defendants admit they are residents of North Carolina. The Defendants neither admit nor deny the conclusions of law contained therein. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

24-26. Denied.

27. The Defendants admit that gender dysphoria is a diagnosis described in the DSM-5. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

28-42. Denied.

43. The Defendants admit that the 80/20 PPO Plan and the 70/30 PPO Plan are generally offered to subscribers in the State Health Plan. The Defendants admit that the HDHP is offered to some subscribers but deny it is generally available. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

44. The Defendants admit that the Plan provides certain covered health benefits while excluding others. As noted in paragraph 3, above, the Defendants lack sufficient information about Plaintiffs' intended meaning of the term "medically necessary" to admit or deny whether this phrase is used accurately. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

45. The Defendants admit the first sentence. The Defendants admit that the coverage exclusions challenged by Plaintiffs apply to the identified State Health Plan options. The Defendants admit that the Board of Trustees of the State Health Plan suspended the coverage exclusions at issue in this lawsuit for the 2017 Plan year. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

46. Denied.

47. The Defendants deny the first sentence. The Defendants admit that publications by the American Medical Association and American Psychological Association discuss insurance coverage but lack sufficient information to admit or deny whether these statements reflect the judgment of those private organizations and whether these statements reflect "medical consensus." Except as expressly admitted, denied.

48. The Defendants admit that Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Carolina and CVS are contractors for the State Health Plan. The Defendants admit that these contractors administer benefits for other insurance plans but lack sufficient information to admit or deny allegations about other plans. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

49. Denied.

50. The Defendants admit that the Board of Trustees for the State Health Plan suspended the coverage exclusions for the 2017 Plan year. The Defendants deny the Board

of Trustees “removed” the coverage exclusions as alleged in the second and fourth sentence. The Defendants admit the Press Secretary for the prior State Treasurer provided comments at the time about the Board’s action. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny whether the quoted language accurately reflects the decision by the Board of Trustees or its motivation. The Defendants also lack sufficient information to admit or deny whether the statement was correct, based on information known at the time. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

51. The Defendants admit that the Plan contracts with a consulting firm to evaluate potential actuarial impacts of benefit changes and to otherwise provide reports to assist in the administration of Plan benefits. The Defendants admit that this contractor was retained by the prior State Treasurer. The Defendants deny that any reports conclusively reflect the effect of benefits changes on the actuarial soundness of the State Health Plan. The Defendants deny the third sentence fully summarizes any report’s conclusions about Federal law. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

52. The Defendants admit that the quoted language appears in a report by the consulting firm. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

53. The Defendants deny that the State Treasurer could “take action” prior to assuming office. The Defendants deny that either the State Treasurer or Executive Administrator can “take action” with regard to the coverage exclusions challenged by Plaintiffs independently of the Board of Trustees of the State Health Plan. The Defendants admit that state employees and their dependents testified before the Board of Trustees in favor of eliminating the coverage exclusions. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

54. The Defendants admit that the quoted words are part of a longer public statement released by the State Treasurer. The Defendants deny that the quoted words accurately summarize or paraphrase the opinions of the State Treasurer or reflect his views. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

55. The Defendants deny the first sentence. The Defendants admit that the State Health Plan benefits exclude coverage for the services described by the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

56. Denied.

57-58. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

59. The Defendants admit that Plaintiff Kadel is enrolled in the State Health Plan. The Defendants deny that payment for health care services is part of compensation. Upon information and belief, Kadel's monthly employee premium is deducted from his paycheck and provided to the State Health Plan. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

60-73. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

74. The Defendants admit that Plaintiff Jason Fleck is enrolled in the State Health Plan. The Defendants deny that payment for health care services is part of compensation. Upon information and belief, Jason Fleck's monthly employee premium is deducted from his paycheck and provided to the State Health Plan. The Defendants admit that Connor Thonen-Fleck is enrolled in the State Health Plan as a dependent of Jason Fleck. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

75. The Defendants admit the first and third sentence. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the second sentence.

76. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

77. The Defendants admit that CVS issued a Notice of Determination on April 9, 2018. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

78-84. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

85. The Defendants admit Plaintiff McKeown is enrolled in the State Health Plan. The Defendants deny that payment for health care services is part of compensation. Upon information and belief, McKeown's monthly employee premium is deducted from her paycheck and provided to the State Health Plan. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

86. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

87. The Defendants admit the first sentence. The Defendants deny the second sentence.

88-100. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

101. The Defendants admit that Plaintiff Michael Bunting is enrolled in the State Health Plan. The Defendants deny that payment for health care services is part of compensation. Upon information and belief, Bunting's monthly employee premium was deducted from his paycheck and provided to the State Health Plan prior to his retirement. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

102-109. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

110. The Defendants admit that Plaintiff Michael Bunting is enrolled in the State Health Plan as a retiree. The Defendants admit that C.B. is enrolled as a dependent of Michael Bunting. The Defendants lack sufficient information to determine Plaintiffs' future intentions.

111-113.The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

114. The Defendants admit, upon information and belief, that Plaintiff Silvaine was enrolled in the State Health Plan during Plan years 2016, 2017 and 2018. The Defendants deny that payment for health care services is part of compensation. Upon information and belief, Silvaine's monthly employee premium was deducted from his paycheck and provided to the State Health Plan. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

115-117.The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

118. The Defendants admit that the Board of Trustees of the State Health Plan suspended application of the coverage exclusions challenged by the Plaintiffs for the 2017 Plan year. The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations. Except as specifically admitted, denied.

119-123.The Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny.

124. The Defendants incorporate their responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1-123 of the Complaint.

125. Admit.

126. The Defendants admit the Plaintiffs seek the relief stated but deny the Plaintiffs are entitled to it.

127-138.The paragraphs state conclusions of law that the Defendants neither admit nor deny. To the extent the paragraphs make allegations of fact, they are denied.

139. The Defendants incorporate their responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1-123 of the Complaint.

140-141. The paragraphs state conclusions of law that the Defendants neither admit nor deny. To the extent the paragraphs make allegations of fact, they are denied.

142. Admit, upon information and belief.

143-147. The paragraphs state conclusions of law that the Defendants neither admit nor deny. To the extent the paragraphs make allegations of fact, they are denied.

148. The Defendants incorporate their responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1-123 of the Complaint.

149-157. The paragraphs state conclusions of law that the Defendants neither admit nor deny. To the extent the paragraphs make allegations of fact, they are denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief they seek.

DEFENSES

1. One or more of the Plaintiffs' claims in the Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

2. One or more of the Plaintiffs' claims in the Complaint is barred by the Eleventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

3. To the extent the Plaintiffs seek any relief against the Defendants that would only be available in a suit against the Defendants in their individual capacity, the claims are barred by qualified immunity.

4. The Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their alleged damages.

5. The Plaintiffs have failed to join the members of the Board of Trustees of the State Health Plan as necessary parties to this litigation.

6. One or more of the Plaintiffs' claims is barred because Plaintiffs have failed to exhaust their administrative remedies.

7. One or more of the Plaintiffs' claims is barred by the doctrines of waiver or estoppel.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Defendants demand a jury.

The Defendants respectfully request that the Court dismiss this action with prejudice, denying the relief requested and granting such further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Dated this 24th day of April, 2020.

Respectfully submitted by,

/s/ James Benjamin Garner

James Benjamin Garner
N.C. Bar. No. 41257
General Counsel
North Carolina Department of
the State Treasurer
3200 Atlantic Avenue
Raleigh, North Carolina 27604
Telephone: (919) 814-4000
Ben.Garner@nctreasurer.com

/s/ John G. Knepper

John G. Knepper
Wyo. Bar No. 7-4608
LAW OFFICE OF JOHN G. KNEPPER, LLC
Post Office Box 1512
Cheyenne, WY 82003-1512
Telephone: (307) 632-2842
Facsimile: (307) 432-0310
John@KnepperLLC.com

/s/ Kevin G. Williams

Kevin G. Williams
N. C. Bar No. 25760

/s/ Mark A. Jones

Mark A. Jones
N.C. Bar No. 36215
BELL, DAVIS & PITT, P.A.
100 North Cherry St., Suite 600
Winston-Salem, NC 27120-1029
Telephone: (336) 722-3700
Facsimile: (336) 722-8153
kwilliams@belldavispitt.com
mjones@belldavispitt.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document was filed through the ECF system and will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF).

This the 24th day of April, 2020.

/s/ Mark A. Jones